

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
CRIMINAL DIVISION**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

v.

████████████████████  
**Defendant**

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

**Case** ██████████  
**Judge** ██████████  
**Trial Date:** ██████████

**ORDER**

Mr. ██████████, through defense counsel, has requested information or documentation of any investigations or complaints that were pending against Metropolitan Police Department officers at any point during the investigation and prosecution of this case. The United States Attorney’s Office opposes this request in part and states that the defendant’s demand for information on investigations is overbroad and should be limited to investigations pending at the time of trial.

The Court has considered the Defendant’s motion, the Government’s opposition and ex parte filing and the Defendant’s reply. A hearing was held on ██████████ at which time the Court heard further representations and argument from both parties. A ruling was made in open court, which is incorporated herein by reference. For the reasons stated in open court, this Court finds that the existence of an investigation pending at any time during the pendency of this case against officers who will be testifying at trial, or who prepared reports upon which other officers will be relying, shall be disclosed to the Defense. See *Davis v. Alaska*, 415 U.S 308, 317 (1974).

To the extent that an investigation did not result in an unfavorable finding against the officer, the Government shall disclose to the Defense simply that there was an

investigation pending during the pendency of this case that ultimately did not result in a negative finding against the officer. With respect to any sustained investigation, the United States Attorney's Office shall disclose to the Defense the existence of the investigation as well as determine whether those sustained investigations had any factual relevance to the allegations in this case, or whether the investigation gave rise to a charge of corruption bias, to the extent there was a finding that the officer was likely to give false testimony. See *Longus v. United States*, 52 A.3<sup>rd</sup> 836(D.C. 2012). In the event the United States Attorney's Office finds evidence of substantiated investigations with factual relevance or evidence of corruption bias, this information shall be disclosed to the defense.

The Government shall provide this information to the Defense no later than close of business on [REDACTED]

It is ordered on this 2nd day of [REDACTED].

**SO ORDERED.**

[REDACTED]  
Judge [REDACTED]  
**SIGNED IN CHAMBERS**

**Copies to:**

[REDACTED]  
Public Defender Service  
633 Indiana Ave NW  
Washington, DC

United States Attorney's Office  
555 4<sup>th</sup> Street NW  
Washington, D.C. 20560